

**MINUTES OF THE LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
HEARING OF FEBRUARY 20, 2008**

APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR REGULAR MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2008

Chairman Colven calls for any corrections, additions, or deletions to the minutes. There are none. Commissioner McCallon moves approval of the minutes as presented, seconded by Commissioner Cox. Chairman Colven calls for a voice vote on the motion and it is as follows: Ayes: Colven, Cox, McCallon, Nuaimi, Pearson. Noes: None. Abstain: None. Absent: Biane, Hansberger

CONSENT ITEMS

LAFCO considers the items listed under its consent calendar. The consent calendar consists of:

- ITEM 4. Approval of Executive Officer's Expense Report
- ITEM 5. Ratify Payments as Reconciled for Month of January 2008 and Note Cash Receipts

A Travel Claim and Visa Justifications for the Executive Officer's expense report, as well as a staff report outlining the staff recommendation for the reconciled payments, have been prepared and a copy of each is on file in the LAFCO office and are made a part of the record by their reference here.

Chairman Colven asks if there is anyone present wishing to discuss any of the consent calendar items. There is no one.

Commissioner Nuaimi moves approval of the staff recommendations for the consent calendar, seconded by Commissioner Pearson. Chairman Colven calls for a voice vote on the motion and it is as follows: Ayes: Colven, Cox, McCallon, Nuaimi, Pearson. Noes: None. Abstain: None. Absent: Biane, Hansberger

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

CONSIDERATION OF: (1) CEQA STATUTORY EXEMPTION FOR LAFCO 3002; AND (2) LAFCO 3002 – SERVICE REVIEW AND SPHERE OF INFLUENCE UPDATE FOR MOJAVE DESERT RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT

LAFCO conducts a public hearing to consider a service review and sphere of influence update for Mojave Desert Resource Conservation District. Notice of the hearing was advertised as required by law through publication in *the Sun*, *Desert Dispatch*, *Hi Desert Star*, *Needles Desert Star*, and *Alpenhorn News* and through a publication of a 1/8 page legal ad in the *Daily Press*, newspapers of general circulation in the area, pursuant to State law and Commission policy. Individual notice of this hearing was provided to affected and interested agencies, County departments and those individuals and agencies requesting mailed notice.

Michael Tuerpe, LAFCO Analyst, states LAFCO 3002 is a municipal service review and sphere of influence update for the Mojave Desert Resource Conservation District. The district is an independent special district formed in 1951 which currently encompasses approximately 18,000 square miles, with a 2007 population of approximately 552,000, and is governed by an independent board of directors. He states the service review and sphere study area is generally bordered on the east by the states of Nevada and Arizona, on the south by a combination of the Riverside County line and the Inland Empire Resource Conservation District, on the west by Los

**MINUTES OF THE LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
HEARING OF FEBRUARY 20, 2008**

Angeles and Kern County line, and on the north by the Inyo County line, excluding the areas of Searles Valley and a portion of the China Lake Naval Weapons Center within San Bernardino County.

Mr. Tuerpe states the District provides coordination in the development and implementation of reforestation of burned areas through its ReLeaf program. He says that since 2004, this program has planted over 40,000 native seedlings on several hundred acres in the San Bernardino Mountains devastated by drought, wildfires, and bark beetle infestation. It is the lead agency for this program which includes support from many other agencies.

He states that a second project directed by the agency provides removal of tamarisk (salt cedar), arundo, and Russian-olive invasive species; one of the objectives of this project is to implement the Mojave Basin Area Judgment to improve riparian habitats, maintain ground/surface water saturation at root zone, and increase downstream flows. He says the Mojave Water Agency provides funding for this project.

Mr. Tuerpe states, a third notable project is the environmental quality incentive program which assists farmers to improve agricultural systems to conserve water and electricity.

He says that of concern to the Commission in 2004, when it consolidated the spheres of influence of the Inland Empire West RCD and East Valley RCD, was the inequity of service delivery by the Mojave Desert RCD. The Commission directed staff to return at this service review to address that issue.

Mr. Tuerpe states the district's efforts are mainly concentrated in the Mojave watershed area, specifically in the north desert area. However, while the Yucca Valley and Twentynine Palms areas which are within the boundaries of the district, they do not receive the same level of service that the north desert receives. He says the district receives a portion of the one percent ad valorem from all of its service areas, although these areas do not receive the same level of service. Mr. Tuerpe identifies that there are a few exclusion areas in the north desert which include portions of Barstow, Apple Valley and Victorville, which are within the district's sphere, which do not contribute funding to the district, although they indirectly receive the benefits of the district's concentrated efforts in the north county area along the Mojave River. He says that since 2004, the district has utilized its relationship with the USDA National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) to advocate for funding of these areas. He concludes that LAFCO staff has found that the district has some indirect and direct involvement outside the Mojave watershed and the north desert. He says the district has advocated for funding from the NRCS to the Needles area for irrigation improvements and to provide \$126,000 to aid in efforts of Sawtooth Fire recovery in the Morongo Basin. For direct involvement, the NRCS is currently conducting a soil survey in the Morongo Basin and the district attends the meetings with landowners in order for landowners to grant access to the NRCS and the district to perform soil surveys. He says the fourth instance of efforts outside of the north desert would be the district's ReLeaf effort which is to plant seedlings in the San Bernardino Mountains and 40,000 of those seedlings have been planted on the Santa Ana watershed side of the mountain. Mr. Tuerpe states the district's authorized power is identified as resource conservation. However, the district is in negotiation to enter into taking over two conservation easements in perpetuity; therefore, staff recommends the expansion of the description of resource conservation services to include habitat preservation.

**MINUTES OF THE LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
HEARING OF FEBRUARY 20, 2008**

Mr. Tuerpe says the area outside the district's sphere in the northwestern most portion of the county encompasses approximately 960 square miles. The district did not request expansion of its sphere but LAFCO staff is recommending expansion to include the 960 square miles. He states this area includes a portion of China Lake Naval Weapons Center and the Searles Valley/Trona area. The majority of that area is military and Bureau of Land Management land. He states there is significant mining activity in Trona, but the majority land use designation in the area is resource conservation. He points out that the area recommended for sphere expansion is neither within the boundaries nor the sphere of influence of a resource conservation district and this expansion would allow the district to plan for providing services to the area and would include all lands within the County within a boundary or sphere of influence of a resource conservation district. He refers to the map which was distributed (a complete copy of which is on file in the LAFCO office). He states the Commission's environmental consultant has determined that the municipal service review and sphere of influence expansion is statutorily exempt from environmental review and LAFCO staff recommends that the Commission take the actions on pages 1 and 2 of the staff report.

Chairman Colven opens the public hearing and calls upon those present wishing to discuss this item. There is no one.

Chairman Colven closes the public hearing and asks how this action provides for additional funding for the district with reference to the sphere expansion. Mr. Tuerpe states the sphere of influence expansion is simply a planning tool so there is no additional funding. Ms. Cox asks if there would be additional ad valorem tax from the newly annexed area to the district. Kathleen Rollings-McDonald, Executive Officer, states that even through annexation there would be no increase in ad valorem property tax. She states the issue of service in their sphere of influence through out-of-agency contracts is probably the best approach, but that cannot be done without the sphere expansion. Chairman Colven states, with the addition of the added responsibility, he finds it troubling that there is no forthcoming revenue. Ms. McDonald explains the only responsibility is for planning. Service would not be extended into the sphere of influence unless there was a grant, so the planning tool is the sphere expansion and this encompasses all territories within San Bernardino County within a resource conservation district for that purpose. She states grant funding is provided by many agencies, including substantial funding by the Mojave Water Agency, some water districts, and the NRCS. In addition, the ReLeaf Program receives grant funding related to fire activity. She says there are a multitude of agencies that provide grant funding for which the agency can apply. Commissioner Cox states this organization has been very entrepreneurial and has been able to secure grants to implement projects that the granting agency would not have the time to implement. She says this organization has filled a vital niche in carrying out important programs, and she applauds them. Ms. Cox compliments Mr. Tuerpe on his staff report and analysis of whether or not this RCD should be combined with the Inland Empire RCD. She says the appropriate conclusion is that it should not and it services a niche in a watershed that is completely separate from the southern part of San Bernardino County, and she strongly supports the sphere expansion. Chairman Colven states the watershed is an important issue, and the agency has been involved in removing dead and dying trees. He states a fire does almost irreparable damage to the watershed and the purpose of the watershed.

Commissioner Nuaimi moves approval of the staff recommendation, seconded by Commissioner Pearson. Chairman Colven calls for a voice vote on the motion and it is as follows: Ayes: Colven, Cox, McCallon, Nuaimi, Pearson. Noes: None. Abstain: None. Absent: Biane, Hansberger.

**MINUTES OF THE LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
HEARING OF FEBRUARY 20, 2008**

**CONSIDERATION OF: (1) CEQA STATUTORY EXEMPTION FOR LAFCO 3021; AND (2)
LAFCO 3021 - SERVICE REVIEW AND SPHERE OF INFLUENCE UPDATE FOR CSA29**

LAFCO conducts a public hearing to consider a service review and sphere of influence update for CSA29. Notice of the hearing was advertised as required by law through publication in *The Sun* and through publication of a 1/8 page legal ad in the *Daily Press*, newspapers of general circulation in the area, pursuant to State law and Commission policy. Individual notice of this hearing was provided to affected and interested agencies, County departments and those individuals and agencies requesting mailed notice.

LAFCO Analyst Michael Tuerpe states that in the early 1900's, the area now known as Lucerne Valley was an important area for alfalfa farming and the community sprung up around the area of what is now known as the Highways 18 and 247 corridor. He states the area also experienced growth in the 1950's when the railroad line was extended into the area by Kaiser Industries for mining activity. He states that, whereas the north desert has experienced significant growth, the Lucerne Valley community has experienced slow growth and staff believes this is due to the community's rural nature and its lack of a municipal water and sewer provider. He states the boundaries of CSA29 define the community of Lucerne Valley and the Lucerne Valley Community Plan recognizes this definition as well. He states the district's sphere and boundary are coterminous and encompass approximately 433 square miles. He states that, of the 39% of the area that falls under County jurisdiction, roughly 50% has a land use designation of rural living with an agricultural overlay and conservation designations. He states there is some mining activity and that industry is a significant economic driver for the community. He states that fire and ambulance services will be transferred to County Fire pursuant to LAFCO 3000, and part of that proposal is the permanent transfer of 65% of CSA29's ad valorem property tax to the County Fire Protection District based on historic tax divisions. He says Lucerne Valley has no public water system to serve its residents, and water acquisition can be characterized by mutual water companies and wells concentrated around the Highways 18 and 247 corridor. He states those outside of this area receive their water from wells or hauled water. He says that last summer this community experienced a water crisis relating to hauled water and while the crisis has been abated, the vulnerability still remains. Ms. McDonald states that all of the water providers are private or mutual water companies outside the LAFCO jurisdiction, controlled by either the PUC or the Department of Corporations. She states their expansion abilities are very restricted, especially for mutual water companies. She points out that, while they are municipal in nature, they do not serve more than just themselves and are very limited in their ability to extend service. Mr. Tuerpe states there is a proposed development project in the community of approximately 1,400 acres, known as Rancho Lucerne, located near the same Highways 18 and 247 corridor. He states CSA29 is conditioned to serve the project with water and sewer and if the project is built, CSA29 would then enter into the realm of becoming a water and sewer municipal provider. Mr. Tuerpe states CSA29 does not provide sewer service in the area and there is no public provider of sewer service in the community at present.

Mr. Tuerpe states, in regard to future governance options, one option for the community would be submission to LAFCO of an application to form a community services district with the option of selecting from 33 powers; this application would have to show the financial viability of such a district. He states the Commission has considered three applications in the past, all were defeated, and two were denied at election. He states staff is not aware of community interest at this time for the formation of a community services district. He says a second option would be for CSA29 to propose an improvement zone in the area whereby it would provide water and sewer to the populated areas; however, the district is 433 square miles and the land use designations are

**MINUTES OF THE LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
HEARING OF FEBRUARY 20, 2008**

agricultural, resource conservation, and rural living, which does allow up to one unit per 40 acres. He states there are challenges in providing water and sewer service in the area due to the large lots. He points out an improvement zone would, however, be created through a vote of the electorate. He state that staff recommends, for fire protection and ambulance, a clarification that these powers are being removed as of July 1, 2008, due to the County Fire reorganization. He explains that water is now described as "water" and sewer is described as "sewer"; however, CSA29 does provide water to its own facilities and it is conditioned to serve water to the Rancho Lucerne project. Therefore staff recommends a description of "domestic." He says, for sewer, it does not provide sewer at this time, but it is conditioned to provide sewer to the Rancho Lucerne project. Therefore, staff recommends a description of "engineering and planning." The Commission's environmental consultant has determined that LAFCO 3021 is statutorily exempt from environmental review and LAFCO recommends that the Commission adopt the recommendations on pages1 and 2.

Chairman Colven asks if there are questions from the Commission. Commissioner Pearson states the projections do not take into account the Rancho Lucerne project. He asks if, as it moves forward, it will become a project and therefore require an EIR. Mr. Tuerpe states the project has gone through approval phase with the County but it is not entitled to build at this time. He says the project must meet conditions and once those conditions are met, then the project will move forward, as LAFCO staff understands. Ms. McDonald states there has been an EIR and addendum prepared for Rancho Lucerne that was reviewed, circulated and adopted by the County, and that project is conditioned on CSA29 providing sewer and water service. She states that project has until 2009 to meet the conditions of approval in order to allow for the tract to be recorded. She says that some grading has already taken place in this area because a golf course is anticipated. She says the implementation by CSA29 of the conditions of approval include the development of a sewage treatment plan to be turned over to CSA29. She says the EIR has been completed and certified some time ago, prior to the discussion of the formation of the Rancho Lucerne CSD. Chairman Colven asked if the County accepted the EIR. Ms. McDonald states it did and the project has gone through the review process and has been adopted by the Board of Supervisors. She states it required a general plan amendment. Chairman Colven states the question now becomes "what are they waiting for?" Ms. McDonald states this project has a long history and the conditions of approval require the financial obligation of the property owners to perform and provide for the services. She states it is a financial issue and it is a very ambitious project. Commissioner Cox states, in light of the current housing market, if they have until 2009 to comply, it is unlikely they will comply. Ms. McDonald states if they fail to meet the 2009 deadline, the project will have to start from Square One.

Chairman Colven opens the public hearing and calls upon those wishing to speak. There is no one. The public hearing is closed.

Commissioner Cox moves approval of the staff recommendation, seconded by Commissioner McCallon. Chairman Colven calls for a voice vote on the motion and it is as follows: Ayes: Colven, Cox, McCallon, Nuaimi, Pearson. Noes: None. Abstain: None. Absent: Biane, Hansberger.

MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW AND FEE SCHEDULE UPDATE – 2007-08

LAFCO conducts a public hearing to consider a mid-year budget review and fee schedule update. Notice of the hearing was advertised as required by law through publication in *The Sun*, a newspaper of general circulation in the area, pursuant to State law and Commission policy.

**MINUTES OF THE LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
HEARING OF FEBRUARY 20, 2008**

Individual notice of this hearing was provided to affected and interested agencies, County departments and those individuals and agencies requesting mailed notice.

Ms. McDonald states the projection and forecast is that the entire reserve balance identified for FY 2007-08 will carry over to the next fiscal year. She says the current costs are shown through December 31, 2007. Many costs are one-time costs, including purchase of a color printer, contract with Debby Chamberlin to assist in training the new Clerk as well as complete other projects, and travel expenses for the CALAFCO conference. She states that the balance of the fiscal year will have significant costs for legal advertising for the County Fire Reorganization Protest Hearing, which will be held on March 26, 2008. She states the only question remaining is how long it will take for all the conditions to be met in order to issue the Certificate of Completion.

Ms. McDonald states there are two sources of revenue to the Commission; the apportionment of costs that all jurisdictions pay to fund LAFCO, i.e., one-third each for County, cities and independent special districts. She says the second primary source is the fee revenue which is predicated upon the number of proposals received. She states proposal activity has plummeted for the year; recently staff received two applications, for a total of six this fiscal year; whereas, last year staff had received 19, the previous year 27, and the year before that 31. She states that activity level has changed; however, LAFCO's obligation for completing municipal service reviews, for which there is no funding, continues. She states that all agencies reviewed do pay the direct cost of environmental review and consideration. She states it is expected that the Commission will move into the next fiscal year with \$89,000 in reserve. She says if additional proposals are received, and a few are expected, that carryover will increase.

She states staff has received one-half funding for the environmental impact report for the consolidation of the San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District and the San Bernardino Valley Water Conservation District; the other half will be received later in the fiscal year. Staff anticipates all costs to be paid during the current fiscal year; whatever comes in goes directly out and staff does not anticipate carryover. She says that at the end of January staff received notification that the Department of Fish and Game increased its application filing fees effective January 1, 2008, and the fee schedule has been changed to reflect that increase, so any filings made in January include that direct cost. She says staff's recommendation for the mid-year budget review is that the Commission note and file the report, amend the fee schedule to reflect the changes by the Department of Fish and Game, and direct staff to notify cities, special districts and the County that this took place effective January 1, 2008.

Commissioner McCallon asks if staff is aware that the City of Highland will propose a small annexation/ deannexation. Ms. McDonald states she is aware, but has not been able to determine the schedule for filing. Commissioner McCallon states he hoped it would be filed this week.

Commissioner Nuaimi moves approval of the staff recommendation, seconded by Commissioner Cox. Chairman Colven calls for a voice vote on the motion and it is as follows: Ayes: Colven, Cox, McCallon, Nuaimi, Pearson. Noes: None. Abstain: None. Absent: Biane, Hansberger.

PENDING LEGISLATION REPORT

Ms. McDonald states the new legislative session has begun, and today, the members of the Board of Supervisors are not present here because they are participating in a legislative day in

**MINUTES OF THE LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
HEARING OF FEBRUARY 20, 2008**

Sacramento. She states Mr. McCallon and Mr. Nuaimi will leave shortly to participate there also. She shares the CALAFCO Board of Directors' adopted legislative policies for the upcoming year. She says they will oppose legislation which places limitations on the policies and directives of LAFCO. They have adopted policies regarding a mechanism to resolve conflicts between LAFCOs and other entities. She says SB301 (Romero) is significant in that this bill originally related to the East Los Angeles incorporation and the ability to be funded for the comprehensive fiscal analysis through the state, which was not supported. Senator Romero has amended the bill to take away the sunset provisions for motor vehicle payments for annexation that were put in place by AB1602. Ms. McDonald reviewed that there is currently a discussion of maintenance of the funds for incorporations and the bill has been amended to address the ongoing allocation of Vehicle License Fees for incorporations and annexations provided by AB1602. She says CALAFCO staff is seeking to further amend the legislation to exclude the sunset for incorporation. The legislation provides for a \$50 per capita payment on incorporations and/or annexations. She says this is an important issue, especially to the island annexation program where the Commission is addressing almost entirely inhabited areas, and \$50 per capita is critical. Staff requests that the Commission authorize the Chairman to sign a letter of support to Senator Romero and to the San Bernardino County legislators seeking their support of this legislation.

Commissioner McCallon moves approval of the staff recommendation, seconded by Commissioner Pearson. Chairman Colven calls for a voice vote on the motion and it is as follows: Ayes: Colven, Cox, McCallon, Nuaimi, Pearson. Noes: None. Abstain: None. Absent: Biane, Hansberger.

With reference to AB242 (Blakeslee), Ms. McDonald states this legislation will clarify last year's law that was adopted regarding the reassignment of RHNA numbers through annexations. While LAFCO has no direct involvement in this process, it is required to acknowledge the RHNA number issue in the factors of consideration. She says this is in early stages and LAFCO staff is currently working with SANBAG to develop a system to provide this information whether or not AB242 is passed. She states she will monitor this bill and keep the Commission apprised as it moves forward.

Ms. McDonald states SB375 (Steinberg) is of significant importance and the Commission is reminded that Senator Steinberg will be the president of the Senate this spring. She says this "Sustainable Communities Strategy" effort is the lynchpin of his legislative program. She explains this legislation ties transportation dollars and regional planning efforts together. As was reviewed with the Commission last summer, the current bill does not address infrastructure issues related to the placement of this regional development. She says this is "half a pie," in discussion of infrastructure issues and there are only two instances in the bill where infrastructure outside of regional roadways and transit systems are identified and spheres of influence are mentioned once. However, it does not say what spheres of influence – city of special district. She explains that CALAFCO's legislative position is that the new legislation not solidify the deficiency in the regional blueprint planning effort previously undertaken, in that the issue of service delivery outside of transportation was not addressed. She states the LAFCO Executive Officers met within the SCAG Region with the then-director of SCAG, Mr. Paisano, to review the process and what is believed to be deficiencies in the evaluation process, such as there was no notification of the regional blueprint planning effort. She says it was SCAG's position that was a responsibility of the local COG. The Executive Officers stated that without the identification of the infrastructure deficiency or the potential funding to correct it, that the regional blueprints were somewhat flawed, without the participation or analysis available from the information that LAFCO provides through the service reviews and other efforts. She states the idea of sustainable communities is wholeheartedly supported by the legislative committee, the CALAFCO Executive Board, and the Commission itself.

**MINUTES OF THE LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
HEARING OF FEBRUARY 20, 2008**

She says she is not aware of the CALAFCO Executive Board position that was taken on February 8, but wishes to advise the Commission of these impacts. She states the CALAFCO Executive Director is participating with a very large stakeholders group including the League of California Cities and CSAC.

Commissioner McCallon comments that the new Executive Director of SCAG may present a different attitude and the League of California Cities is working very closely with Senator Steinberg's staff to get the language changed. He states at the last Board meeting a position of "opposed unless amended" was taken to show Senator Steinberg that support could be given if it was amended. He says SCAG has taken a position of "no position."

Ms. McDonald states this is a monumental undertaking with very significant impacts in that regional planning and the guiding of development to specific transportation corridors is what is intended. She says those corridors run through this county and there is no direction or analysis of the impacts to other service providers. She believes CALAFCO and CSDA for Special Districts should be looking at impacts of the lack of acknowledgment of those service delivery patterns. She says she is not aware of CSDA's position; however there is no discussion of special districts service delivery. For a county the size of San Bernardino, this is a very major concern. Commissioner McCallon states the concern was that the bill would be pushed through before the budget discussion. Chairman Colven comments that CSDA should take a position; Ms. McDonald states she has not heard that CSDA has taken a position, but the lack of discussion is an important consideration, especially for the special districts in the SCAG region.

Commissioner Cox moves to take a position of "oppose unless amended," seconded by Commissioner Pearson. Chairman Colven calls for a voice vote on the motion and it is as follows: Ayes: Colven, Cox, McCallon, Nuaimi, Pearson. Noes: None. Abstain: None. Absent: Biane, Hansberger.

Ms. McDonald presents the potential rewrite of County Service Area law, and states she has participated as an advisor to the Senate Local Government Select Committee, looking at rewriting County Service Area law. She says the draft has been presented to the legislative council and Senator Gloria Negrete-McLeod sent out a notice to the members of the Senate Local Government Committee seeking their support to initiate this as a committee bill and Senator McLeod has said that she will initiate this bill on her own if she does not receive full committee support. Ms. McDonald notes this is a rewrite of a law that has been in existence since 1953, and while it has been amended section by section, there has been no complete review or overhaul of this bill in that period of time. She says it does not acknowledge the requirements of Proposition 13, Proposition 218, or Proposition 1(a) and the language still states in its provisions that it can set a tax rate on a county service area without regard to vote. She states this was long overdue, but it was a difficult process because the committee needed to reach consensus on policy changes and there was significant discussion on this. She says when this bill is officially presented, staff will seek the Commission's support, as one of the counties with the largest number of county service areas and improvement zones in the state.

Ms. McDonald states the definition of "substantially surrounded" has raised controversy related to the City of El Cajon in San Diego County where a Home Depot was proposed for development in an area that was surrounded on three sides by the City of El Cajon and a freeway on the fourth, and San Diego LAFCO determined that it was not an island and did not meet the criteria of "substantially surrounded." She says the matter is before the courts, but the cities of Vista, El Cajon and San Marcos are seeking legislative support for defining what "substantially surrounded" is. She

**MINUTES OF THE LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
HEARING OF FEBRUARY 20, 2008**

states CALAFCO takes a position regarding all instances such as this, that they will oppose legislation that restricts or inhibits the flexibility of the State's statute as defined and the policies that can be adopted by local LAFCOs. She says staff will keep the Commission apprised if this comes forward, because there are many counties that do not wish to be under that rule and the retention of local flexibility should be supported. Commissioner Nuaimi asks, if a legislative solution is sought that says "here are some parameters that can be used to define substantially surrounded," he hopes that the entire suite of options is included as part of the menu, including flood control channels, impediments to service delivery, etc.

Ms. McDonald states that in Calaveras County, one of the municipal water districts is seeking a required seat in all instances. She says CALAFCO opposes this requirement, which disturbs the balance of power as envisioned for LAFCOs, but in many cases is unsuccessful.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S ORAL REPORT

Ms. McDonald states staff is pushing forward with service reviews. Next month's hearing will also be a light one and will include status reports on Arrowhead Springs, San Bernardino islands and the Victorville sphere issue. The April hearing will include budget hearings.

Ms. McDonald states the Special Districts Selection Committee meeting is scheduled for Monday, February 25, and Kimberly Cox has indicated interest in reelection. She says Commissioner Nuaimi is seeking reappointment to the City position. In April the Commission will consider candidates for the alternate public member position. Staff has received letters of interest from three individuals.

COMMISSIONER COMMENTS

Chairman Colven calls for comments from the Commission. There are none.

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC

Chairman Colven calls for comments from the public. There are none.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS TO COME BEFORE THE COMMISSION, THE HEARING IS ADJOURNED AT 10:42 A.M.

ATTEST:

ANNA M. RAEF
Clerk to the Commission

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

ROBERT W. COLVEN, Chairman